



ELSEVIER

Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 114 (1997) 273–286

---

---

JOURNAL OF  
PURE AND  
APPLIED ALGEBRA

---

---

# Adams operations, iterated integrals and free loop spaces cohomology

Frédéric Patras\*

*Université de Nice. URA 168, Mathématiques, Parc Valrose, 06108 Nice cedex 2, France*

Communicated by C.A. Weibel; received 2 April 1995; revised 10 November 1995

---

## Abstract

We construct by geometrical means a weight-decomposition of Chen's iterated integrals in the case of a free loop fibration pullback.

*1991 Math. Sub. Class.:* Primary 55P35; secondary 19L20, 57T30

---

## 0. Introduction

Weight decompositions for Hochschild and cyclic homology of (eventually graded and differential) commutative algebras were defined and studied independently and simultaneously by various authors [3, 8, 10, 12].

[3] was concerned with the Quillen–Sullivan rational homotopy theory, whereas [8, 10, 12] concentrated on homological methods involving the combinatorics of symmetric groups. Means were different, and so were the definitions of weight decompositions; it was anyway proved later on in [14] that those combinatorial and topological definitions agree.

Recently, [1] and [2] showed that Adams operations, which are the operations providing the weight decomposition, do have a geometric interpretation as far as commutative differential graded algebras (CDGAs for short) are concerned. Indeed, let  $X$  be a smooth 1-connected manifold and  $\Omega^*(X)$  its real or complex de Rham algebra; then the Hochschild homology of the CDGA  $\Omega^*(X)$  identifies with the singular cohomology of the free loop space of  $X$ :

$$HH_{-*}(\Omega^*(X), d) \cong H^*(X^{S^1}; k);$$

---

\* E-mail: patras@math.unice.fr.

$k$  being the field of real or complex numbers, according to the choice of the ground field for differential forms. The key result of [1] is the identification of Adams operations on  $HH_{-*}(\Omega^*(X), d)$  (resp. on the corresponding cyclic homology groups) with the operations on  $H^*(X^{S^1}, k)$  (resp. on the corresponding equivariant cohomology groups) induced by iteration of loops.

We are concerned further on with the study of pullbacks of the free loop fibration in the setting of Chen’s iterated integrals theory, and recover by those means the results of [1] on free loop spaces. It should be pointed out, that our methods are entirely different from those previously used in the subject. We go back indeed to the original philosophy of Chen, which requires the process of integrating differential forms over simplices, as emphasized in [4]. This allows us to make use of the geometrical results of [13].

Our main result reads: let  $X$  be a 1-connected differentiable manifold, whose cohomology is of finite type, let  $Y \xrightarrow{i} X$  be a differentiable map and  $X_Y^{S^1} \rightarrow Y$  be the pullback of the free loop fibration along  $i$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_Y^{S^1} & \longrightarrow & X^{S^1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y & \xrightarrow{i} & X; \end{array}$$

then the complex of iterated integrals which computes the cohomology of  $X_Y^{S^1}$  has a canonical decomposition – in fact, a weight decomposition. The basic geometrical remark is that, at the level of iterated integrals, to iterate loops is nothing but a geometrical operation on the simplices over which differential forms have to be integrated.

As Micheline Vigué pointed out to me, the computations in [3] can be generalized and performed on a Sullivan model for  $X_Y^{S^1}$ . We conclude showing that the so-obtained decomposition of a Sullivan model for  $X_Y^{S^1}$  coincides with the one we previously obtained at the level of iterated integrals.

Notations. we shall write  $N$  (resp.  $\mathcal{Q}$ ,  $\mathbf{R}$ , etc.) for the set of entire (resp. rational, real, etc.) numbers.

The end of a proof is indicated by an “open square”  $\square$ .

### 1. Iterated integrals

We just recall those results of [7] we shall need later on.

#### 1.1. Chen complexes

Let  $X$  be a 1-connected differentiable manifold whose cohomology is of finite type, and  $Y \xrightarrow{i} X$  a differentiable map. Let  $\Omega^*(Y)$  be, as usual, the de Rham complex of  $C^\infty$  real or complex differentiable forms on  $Y$  and  $\Omega^*(X)(-1)$  the complex whose degree  $p$  elements are  $p + 1$ -differentiable forms on  $X$ .

By definition, the Chen complex  $Ch^*(X, Y)$  relative to the map  $i$  is the cochain complex whose elements are the elements of:

$$\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \Omega^*(Y) \otimes (\Omega^*(X)(-1))^{\otimes k}.$$

We write  $u \otimes [\omega_1 | \dots | \omega_k]$  for  $u \otimes \omega_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \omega_k$  when  $u$  (resp. each  $\omega_i$ ) is a homogeneous element of  $\Omega^*(Y)$  (resp. of  $\Omega^*(X)$ ).

If  $\alpha$  is a degree  $k$  element of a graded vector space, let us define  $J(\alpha)$  as

$$J(\alpha) := (-1)^k \cdot \alpha.$$

Observe that, if  $\forall i \in [1, k], w_i \in \Omega^{n_i}(X)$ , then:

$$J[w_1 | \dots | w_k] = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^k (n_i - 1)} [w_1 | \dots | w_k] = (-1)^k [Jw_1 | \dots | Jw_k].$$

If  $\omega \in \Omega^n(X)$ , set:

$$\omega^* := \Omega^*(i)(\omega).$$

Let  $d$  be the usual differentiation map of differential forms. The coboundary map  $d_C$  in  $Ch^*(X, Y)$  is given by [7, formula (4.2.2)],

$$\begin{aligned} d_C(u \otimes [w_1 | \dots | w_k]) &:= du \otimes [w_1 | \dots | w_k] - Ju \wedge w_1^* \otimes [w_2 | \dots | w_k] \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} (-1)^i Ju \otimes [Jw_1 | \dots | Jw_{i-1} | dw_i | w_{i+1} | \dots | w_k] \\ &- \sum_{1 \leq i < k} (-1)^i Ju \otimes [Jw_1 | \dots | Jw_{i-1} | Jw_i \wedge w_{i+1} | w_{i+2} | \dots | w_k] \\ &+ (-1)^{(deg w_k - 1) (\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (deg w_i - 1))} Ju \wedge w_k^* \otimes [w_1 | \dots | w_{k-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

It should be pointed out that the Chen complex has nontrivial negative degree components (choose  $u \in \Omega^n(Y)$  and  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k$  in  $\Omega^0(X)$  s.t.  $k > n$ ). However, the theory could be worked out with a cochain complex having only positive degree components [6].

### 1.2. Plots and forms

A key step when defining iterated integrals is the construction of a suitable “de Rham complex” for path spaces. For our purposes, it is enough to make this construction for the spaces  $X_Y^{S^1}$  – see [7] for generalizations.

Further on, we identify the unit circle  $S^1$  with  $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$ . By definition an element of  $X^{S^1}$ , or a loop on  $X$ , is a  $C^\infty$  map from  $S^1$  to  $X$ .

Recall that  $X_Y^{S^1}$  is defined via the pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_Y^{S^1} & \xrightarrow{i_\pi} & X^{S^1} \\ \downarrow \pi_i & & \downarrow \pi \\ Y & \xrightarrow{i} & X, \end{array}$$

where  $\pi$  is the free loop space fibration, that is the map which sends a loop  $\gamma \in X^{S^1}$  to its origin  $\gamma(0)$ .

Let  $A$  be a convex open subset of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . If  $\alpha$  is a map from  $A$  to  $X^{S^1} = \text{Hom}_{C^\infty}(S^1, X)$ , define  $\phi_\alpha$  to be the induced map from  $A \times S^1$  to  $X$ :

$$\phi_\alpha(a, t) := \alpha(a)(t).$$

**Definition 1.1.** A map  $\alpha: A \rightarrow X_Y^{S^1}$  is a plot if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\pi_i \circ \alpha$  is a  $C^\infty$  map from  $A$  to  $Y$ .
- (ii)  $\phi_{i_\pi \circ \alpha}$  is a  $C^\infty$  map from  $A \times S^1$  to  $X$ .

Define  $\Theta$  to be the category whose objects are euclidean convex sets and whose arrows are the  $C^\infty$  maps. If  $A$  (resp.  $f: A \rightarrow B$ ) is an object (resp. an arrow) of  $\Theta$ , define  $F(A)$  to be the set of all plots from  $A$  to  $X_Y^{S^1}$  (resp. the set morphism:

$$\begin{aligned} F(f): F(B) &\rightarrow F(A) \\ F(f)(\beta) &:= \beta \circ f, \quad \forall \beta \in F(B). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $F$  is a contravariant set functor.

Recall  $\Omega^p$  (resp.  $\Omega^*$ ) is the usual contravariant functor from differentiable spaces to vector spaces (resp. to CDGAs) and write  $\Omega_s^p$  (resp.  $\Omega_s^*$ ) for the corresponding set functor, that is  $\Omega^p$  (resp.  $\Omega^*$ ) viewed as a functor from spaces to sets.

**Definition 1.2.** A  $p$ -form (resp. a form) on  $X_Y^{S^1}$  is any natural transformation from  $F$  to  $\Omega_s^p$  (resp.  $\Omega_s^*$ ).

Let now  $\wedge^p(X_Y^{S^1})$  (resp.  $\wedge^*(X_Y^{S^1})$ ) be the set of  $p$ -forms (resp. forms) on  $X_Y^{S^1}$ . Since  $\Omega^p$  (resp.  $\Omega^*$ ) is a vector space (resp. a CDGA) functor,  $\wedge^p(X_Y^{S^1})$  (resp.  $\wedge^*(X_Y^{S^1})$ ) is canonically provided with a structure of vector space (resp. CDGA). According to Chen [7, Sections 1.2 and 1.5], we call  $\wedge^*(X_Y^{S^1})$ , the *de Rham complex of  $X_Y^{S^1}$* .

### 1.3. Iterated integrals

The most important step in iterated integrals calculus is the construction of a map from the Chen complex  $Ch^*(X, Y)$  to the de Rham complex  $\wedge^*(X_Y^{S^1})$ .

Recall  $S^1$  and  $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$  have been identified. Let  $\alpha$  be a plot from  $A$  to  $X_Y^{S^1}$ , and define  $\mu_x$  to be the following (1-periodic in the  $\mathbf{R}$ -direction) map:

$$\mu_x : A \times \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow X,$$

$$\mu_x(a, t) := \phi_{i_\pi \circ \alpha}(a, t).$$

If  $\omega \in \Omega^{p+1}(X)$ , define  $\omega_{\mu_x} \in \Omega^{p+1}(A \times \mathbf{R})$  as

$$\omega_{\mu_x} := \Omega^*(\mu_x)(\omega).$$

Let  $dt$  be the standard generator of the  $\Omega^0(\mathbf{R})$ -module  $\Omega^1(\mathbf{R})$  and recall a  $p+1$  form  $\mu$  on  $A \times \mathbf{R}$  has a unique expression  $dt \wedge \mu' + \mu''$ , where  $\mu'$  and  $\mu''$  belong to the subalgebra of  $\Omega^*(A \times \mathbf{R})$  generated by  $\Omega^*(A)$  and  $\Omega^0(A \times \mathbf{R})$ . Then, define  $\omega_x \in \Omega^p(A \times \mathbf{R})$  to be

$$\omega_x := \omega_{\mu_x}',$$

and remark  $\omega_x$  can be expressed as a sum of products of  $C^\infty$  functions on  $A \times \mathbf{R}$  by  $p$ -differential forms on  $A$ .

More generally, let  $\rho_x^k$  be the morphism:

$$\rho_x^k : A \times \mathbf{R}^k \longrightarrow Y \times X^k$$

$$\rho_x^k(a, t_1, \dots, t_k) := (\pi_i \circ \alpha(a), \mu_x(a, t_1), \dots, \mu_x(a, t_k)).$$

Let  $pr_j$  (resp.  $pr_0$ ) be the projection map from  $A \times \mathbf{R}^k$  to  $A \times \mathbf{R}$  (resp.  $A$ ):

$$pr_j(a, t_1, \dots, t_k) := (a, t_j),$$

(resp.  $pr_0(a, t_1, \dots, t_k) := a$ ). If

$$\tilde{\omega} = u \otimes [\omega_1 | \dots | \omega_k] \in \Omega^p(Y) \otimes \Omega^{p_1+1}(X) \otimes \dots \otimes \Omega^{p_k+1}(X),$$

define  $\bar{u}$ ,  $\bar{\omega}_j$  and  $\tilde{\omega}_x$ , respectively, by

$$\bar{u} := \Omega^*(\pi_i \circ \alpha \circ pr_0)(u) \in \Omega^p(A \times \mathbf{R}^k),$$

$$\bar{\omega}_j := \Omega^*(pr_j)(\omega_j)_x \in \Omega^{p_j}(A \times \mathbf{R}^k),$$

$$\tilde{\omega}_x := \bar{u} \wedge \bar{\omega}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \bar{\omega}_k \in \Omega^{p+p_1+\dots+p_k}(A \times \mathbf{R}^k).$$

It should be pointed out that  $\tilde{\omega}_x$  belongs to the subalgebra of  $\Omega^*(A \times \mathbf{R}^k)$  generated by  $\Omega^*(A)$  and  $\Omega^0(A \times \mathbf{R}^k)$ .

We are now in position to define the announced map from  $Ch^*(X, Y)$  to  $\wedge^*(X_Y^{S^1})$ . Let  $\Delta_1^n$  be the  $n$ -simplex:

$$\Delta_1^n := \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in [0, 1]^n \mid t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n\}.$$

We associate to each  $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega^*(Y) \otimes (\Omega^*(X)(-1))^{\otimes n}$  a map  $\int_{\tilde{\omega}}$  from plots  $\alpha : A \rightarrow X_Y^{S^1}$  to differential forms on  $A$  by

$$\int_{\tilde{\omega}} \alpha := \int_{D_1^n} \tilde{\omega}_\alpha.$$

Each morphism  $\int_{\tilde{\omega}}$  is easily seen to define a natural transformation from  $F$  to  $\Omega_s^*$ , that is, a form on  $X_Y^{S^1}$ . By definition the form  $\int_{\tilde{\omega}}$  on  $X_Y^{S^1}$  is the *iterated integral* associated to  $\tilde{\omega}$  (see [5, 7, 4]).

Let  $It^*(X, Y)$  be the set of all iterated integrals:

$$It^*(X, Y) := \left\{ \int_{\tilde{\omega}}, \tilde{\omega} \in Ch^*(X, Y) \right\}.$$

By definition,  $It^*(X, Y) \subset \wedge^*(X_Y^{S^1})$ .

**Theorem 1.3** (Chen [7, Theorems 4.2.1 and 4.3.1]). *The set of iterated integrals  $It^*(X, Y)$  is a subcomplex of  $\wedge^*(X_Y^{S^1})$  whose cohomology is isomorphic to the singular cohomology of  $X_Y^{S^1}$ . Moreover, the map  $\tilde{\omega} \rightarrow \int_{\tilde{\omega}}$  from  $Ch^*(X, Y)$  to  $It^*(X, Y)$  is a quasi-isomorphism.*

## 2. Weight decompositions

### 2.1. Generalized iterated integrals

Let  $P$  be any convex polytope of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , that is the convex envelope of a finite set of points. We can repeat the process of Section 1.3 and define for each  $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega^*(Y) \otimes (\Omega^*(X)(-1))^{\otimes n}$  a natural transformation  $\int_{P, \tilde{\omega}}$  from  $F$  to  $\Omega_s^*$ , that is, a form on  $X_Y^{S^1}$ , by

$$\forall A \in Obj(\Theta), \forall \alpha \in F(A), \int_{P, \tilde{\omega}} \alpha := \int_P \tilde{\omega}_\alpha.$$

We call  $\int_{P, \tilde{\omega}}$  a *generalized iterated integral* and write  $\int_P$  the map from  $\Omega^*(Y) \otimes (\Omega^*(X)(-1))^{\otimes n}$  to  $\wedge^*(X_Y^{S^1}) : \int_P \tilde{\omega} := \int_{P, \tilde{\omega}}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose  $P = P_1 \cup P_2$ ,  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are convex polytopes of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and  $P_1 \cap P_2$  is degenerated – that is,  $P_1 \cap P_2$  is included in some hyperplane of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Then:*

$$\int_P = \int_{P_1} + \int_{P_2}.$$

**Proof.** The lemma follows immediately from the definition of generalized iterated integrals.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $t \in \mathbf{Z}^n$  and let  $P_t := P + t$ , that is, let  $P_t$  be the convex polytope deduced from  $P$  by translation by  $t$ . Then:*

$$\int_{P_t} = \int_P.$$

**Proof.** The lemma follows from the periodicity of the map  $\mu_x$ .  $\square$

If  $\sigma \in S_n$ , define now  $\Delta_\sigma^n$  to be

$$\Delta_\sigma^n := \{(t_{\sigma(n)}, \dots, t_{\sigma(1)}) \in [0, 1]^n \mid t_n \leq \dots \leq t_1\},$$

and set:  $\int_\sigma := \int_{\Delta_\sigma^n}$ .

Let  $\Psi^k$  be the dilation by  $k$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . We have

$$\Psi^k(\Delta_1^n) = \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid 0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq k\}.$$

Recall from [13] that  $\Psi^k(\Delta_1^n)$  decomposes as a union of simplices  $\Delta_\sigma^n + t$ ,  $t \in N^n$ :

$$\Psi^k(\Delta_1^n) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in S_n} \left( \bigcup_{t \in S_k(\sigma)} \Delta_\sigma^n + t \right),$$

where for each  $\sigma$ ,  $S_k(\sigma)$  is a finite subset of  $N^n$ , so that by Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 there exist coefficients  $c_\sigma^k$  with

$$\int_{\Psi^k(\Delta_1^n)} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} c_\sigma^k \int_\sigma;$$

the coefficients  $c_\sigma^k$  being those which appear in [13], Section 2.1.

**Corollary 2.3.** *The map  $\int_{\Psi^k(\Delta_1^n)}$  from  $\Omega^*(Y) \otimes (\Omega^*(X)(-1))^{\otimes n}$  to forms decomposes:*

$$\int_{\Psi^k(\Delta_1^n)} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} c_\sigma^k \cdot \int_\sigma, \quad c_\sigma^k \in N.$$

In fact, it follows from [13], that this decomposition is polynomial in  $k$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** *There exist rational coefficients  $a_\sigma^i$ ,  $\sigma \in S_n$ ,  $i \in [1, n]$  with*

$$\int_{\Psi^k(\Delta_1^n)} = \sum_{i \in [1, n]} \left[ \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_\sigma^i \cdot \int_\sigma \right] \cdot k^i.$$

**Proof.** See [13], Section 2.2.  $\square$

### 2.2. Iteration of loops

Let us return to loops and define  $\Phi^k$  to be the endomorphism of  $X_Y^{S^1}$  induced by  $k$ -fold iteration of loops. Precisely, let  $\bar{\Phi}^k$  be the endomorphism of  $X^{S^1}$ :

$$\forall t \in \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}, \forall \zeta \in \text{Hom}_{C^\infty}(S^1, X), \bar{\Phi}^k(\zeta)(t) := \zeta(k \cdot t).$$

Then,  $\Phi^k$  is defined to be the pullback along  $i: Y \rightarrow X$  of the endomorphism  $\bar{\Phi}^k$  of the free loop space fibration  $X^{S^1} \rightarrow X$ .

The endomorphisms  $\Phi^k$  of  $X_Y^{S^1}$  induce endomorphisms  $\Phi^{k*}$  of  $\wedge^*(X_Y^{S^1})$ , the de Rham complex of  $X_Y^{S^1}$ . In fact,  $\Phi^{k*}$  is also an endomorphism of  $It^*(X, Y)$  and we are going to compute its action on this cochain complex. By Theorem 1.3 this implies that we shall also be able to compute the induced action on  $H_{\text{sing}}^*(X_Y^{S^1})$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $v \in S_n$  be the “permutation of maximal length” in  $S_n$ , that is,*

$$\forall i \in [1, n] \quad v(i) := n + 1 - i.$$

Let  $\sigma \in S_n$  and  $\tilde{\omega} = u \otimes [\omega_1 | \dots | \omega_n] \in \Omega^*(Y) \otimes (\Omega^*(X)(-1))^{\otimes n}$ , then

$$\int_{\sigma} \tilde{\omega} = \int_{\sigma(\tilde{\omega})},$$

where, by definition:

$$\sigma(\tilde{\omega}) := \varepsilon(\sigma, \tilde{\omega}) \cdot u \otimes [\omega_{v \cdot \sigma^{-1} \cdot v(1)} | \dots | \omega_{v \cdot \sigma^{-1} \cdot v(n)}].$$

**Proof.** Check the formula in the definition of generalized iterated integrals;  $\varepsilon(\sigma, \tilde{\omega}) = \pm 1$  is a “graded signature” depending on  $\sigma$ ;  $\text{deg } \omega_1, \dots, \text{deg } \omega_n$ , whose definition is tedious but straightforward.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.**  $\forall \tilde{\omega} \in \Omega^*(Y) \otimes (\Omega^*(X)(-1))^{\otimes n}$ :

$$\Phi^{k*} \left( \int_{\tilde{\omega}} \right) = \int_{\Psi^k(A_1^n, \tilde{\omega})}.$$

**Proof.** Indeed, let  $\alpha: A \rightarrow X_Y^{S^1}$  be a plot and set  $\Phi^k(\alpha) := \Phi^k \circ \alpha$ . Then:

$$\Phi^{k*} \left( \int_{\tilde{\omega}} \right) (\alpha) = \int_{\tilde{\omega}} \Phi^k(\alpha) = \int_{A_1^n} \tilde{\omega}_{\Phi^k(\alpha)}.$$

Besides, the morphism  $\rho_{\Phi^k(\alpha)}^n$ , as defined in Section 1.3, factorizes:

$$A \times \mathbf{R}^n \xrightarrow{1 \times k} A \times \mathbf{R}^n \xrightarrow{\beta_x^n} Y \times X^n$$

$$(a, x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (a, k \cdot x_1, \dots, k \cdot x_n) \mapsto (\pi_i \circ \alpha(a), \mu_\alpha(a, k \cdot x_1), \dots, \mu_\alpha(a, k \cdot x_n)),$$

so that, by a change of variables in the integral  $\int_{\mathcal{A}_1^n} \tilde{\omega}_{\Phi^k(x)}$ , we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_1^n} \tilde{\omega}_{\Phi^k(x)} = \int_{\Psi^k(\mathcal{A}_1^n)} \tilde{\omega}_x. \quad \square$$

**Corollary 2.7.** *With the  $a_\sigma^i$ s as in Proposition 2.4:*

$$\forall \tilde{\omega} \in \Omega^*(Y) \otimes (\Omega^*(X)(-1))^{\otimes n} : \Phi^{k*} \left( \int_{\tilde{\omega}} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_\sigma^i \int_{\sigma(\tilde{\omega})} \right] \cdot k^i.$$

**Proof.** The corollary follows from Lemmas 2.6 and 2.5 and Proposition 2.4.  $\square$

Recall from [13] that, if we define  $e_n^i \in \mathcal{Q}[S_n]$  by

$$\forall i \in [1, n] \quad e_n^i := \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_\sigma^i \cdot \sigma,$$

then  $(e_n^i)_{i \in [1, n]}$  is a complete family of orthogonal idempotents in  $\mathcal{Q}[S_n]$ , that is,

$$e_n^i \circ e_n^j = \delta_i^j \cdot e_n^i$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n e_n^i = 1.$$

Define also  $e_n^0 \in \mathcal{Q}[S_n]$  to be 0 if  $n \neq 0$  and 1 if  $n = 0$ ; and define endomorphisms  $\varepsilon_i$  of  $It^*(X, Y)$  by

$$\forall \tilde{\omega} = u \otimes [\omega_1 | \dots | \omega_n] \in \Omega^*(Y) \otimes (\Omega^*(X)(-1))^{\otimes n}, \quad \varepsilon_i \left( \int_{\tilde{\omega}} \right) := \int_{e_n^i(\tilde{\omega})}$$

By Corollary 2.7,  $\varepsilon_i$  could also be defined as a linear combination of  $\Phi^{k*}$ s by inversion of a Van der Monde matrix, so that, since the  $\Phi^{k*}$  are cochain complex endomorphisms of  $It^*(X, Y)$ ,  $\varepsilon_i$  is a well-defined cochain complex endomorphism of  $It^*(X, Y)$

Moreover, since  $(e_n^i)_{i \in [1, n]}$  is a complete family of orthogonal idempotents, the  $\varepsilon_i$ s are mutually orthogonal projectors:

$$\varepsilon_i \circ \varepsilon_j = \delta_i^j \cdot \varepsilon_i,$$

**Theorem 2.8.** *The complex  $It^*(X, Y)$  of iterated integrals has a weight decomposition under the action of the  $\Phi^{k*}$ s. That is, for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $\tilde{\omega}$  as in Corollary 2.7:*

$$\Phi^{k*} \left( \int_{\tilde{\omega}} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n k^i \varepsilon_i \left( \int_{\tilde{\omega}} \right),$$

and this induces a cochain complex decomposition of  $It^*(X, Y)$  :

$$It^*(X, Y) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} It^{*,i}(X, Y),$$

where

$$It^{*,i}(X, Y) := \varepsilon_i \cdot It^*(X, Y).$$

**Proof.** The theorem summarizes the preceding results and remarks.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.9.** *The singular cohomology of  $X_Y^{S^1}$  has a weight decomposition under the action of operations  $\Phi^{k^*}$  induced from iteration of loops on  $X^{S^1}$ . Precisely, let  $H^{n,i}(X_Y^{S^1}, \kappa)$  be the eigenspace of  $H^n(X_Y^{S^1}, \kappa)$  associated to the eigenvalue  $k^i$  of  $\Phi^{k^*}$ ,  $\kappa = \mathbf{R}$  or  $\mathbf{C}$ , then*

$$H^n(X_Y^{S^1}, \kappa) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n H^{n,i}(X_Y^{S^1}, \kappa)$$

and, moreover,

$$H^{n,0}(X_Y^{S^1}, \kappa) \cong H^n(Y, \kappa).$$

**Proof.** By Theorems 1.3 and 2.8 it is clear that  $H^n(X_Y^{S^1}, \kappa)$  decomposes:

$$H^n(X_Y^{S^1}, \kappa) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} H^{n,i}(X_Y^{S^1}, \kappa).$$

We are reduced to prove that:  $\forall i > n \quad H^{n,i}(X_Y^{S^1}, \kappa) = 0$ .

Let us choose a graded subalgebra  $A$  of  $\Omega^*(X)$ , connected (i.e.  $A^0 \cong \kappa$ ) and satisfying the property:

$$A^1 \cap d\Omega^0(X) = 0,$$

and require also that the inclusion of  $A$  in  $\Omega^*(X)$  be a quasi-isomorphism.

Since  $A$  is connected, it is canonically provided with an augmentation. Let  $A^+$  be the augmentation ideal,  $A^+ = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} A_n$ . Then,

$$Ch^*(A, Y) := \Omega^*(Y) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \Omega^*(Y) \otimes (A^+(-1))^{\otimes n} \right)$$

is a subcomplex of  $Ch^*(X, Y)$ . By [7, Theorem 4.2.1], the process of iterated integration maps isomorphically  $Ch^*(A, Y)$  to a subcomplex  $It^*(A, Y)$  of  $It^*(X, Y)$  and, moreover, by [5, (4.1.2)–(4.1.4)], the inclusion  $It^*(A, Y) \rightarrow It^*(X, Y)$  is an quasi-isomorphism.

By corollary 2.7,  $It^*(A, Y)$  is stable under the action of  $\Phi^{k^*}$ , so that we can define “Adams operations”  $\Psi^k$  on  $Ch^*(A, Y)$  by

$$\Psi^k(\tilde{\omega}) := \int^{-1} \circ \Phi^{k^*} \left( \int_{\tilde{\omega}} \right),$$

where  $\int^{-1}$  is the inverse of the map:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Ch^*(A, Y) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & It^*(A, Y) \\ \tilde{\omega} & \longmapsto & \int_{\tilde{\omega}}. \end{array}$$

Then, by Theorem 2.8:

$$\forall \tilde{\omega} \in \Omega^*(Y) \otimes (A^+(-1))^{\otimes n}, \quad \Psi^k(\tilde{\omega}) = \sum_{i=1}^n k^i \cdot e_n^i(\tilde{\omega}).$$

Define an increasing filtration of  $Ch^*(A, Y)$  whose  $n$ th term is

$$Ch_n^*(A, Y) := \Omega^*(Y) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{1 \leq m \leq n} \Omega^*(Y) \otimes (A^+(-1))^{\otimes m} \right)$$

and consider the associated spectral sequence. Define  $\tilde{H}^*(X, \kappa)$  to be the reduced cohomology of  $X$ . Since  $A$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $\Omega^*(X)$ , and since  $X$  is 1-connected, we have

$$E_1^{p,q} = 0 \quad \text{if } q < 0,$$

$$E_1^{0,q} = H^q(Y, \kappa),$$

and, in general,

$$E_1^{p,q} = (H^*(Y, \kappa) \otimes (\tilde{H}^*(X, \kappa)(-1))^{\otimes p})_{p+q}.$$

Finally, since the action of the  $\Psi^k$ s is compatible with the filtration and with coboundaries, it is enough to prove that for  $p \geq 0, q \geq 0, E_1^{p,q}$  decomposes under the action of  $\Psi^k$ , the eigenvalues being a subset of  $\{1, k, \dots, k^p\}$ . But, by the identity  $\Psi^k(\tilde{\omega}) = \sum_{i=1}^n k^i \cdot e_n^i(\tilde{\omega})$ , this property is already true at the  $E_0$ -level, and the proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3. Rational homotopy

Let us turn now to the rational homotopy point of view.

We omit details and refer the reader to [16, 15, 3, 11, 9] for foundational material.

### 3.1. Models of free loop fibrations

Let  $X$  be a 1-connected topological space whose cohomology is of finite type, and  $i : Y \rightarrow X$  a continuous map.

Recall from [16] that, if  $(\wedge Z, d)$  is a (Sullivan) minimal model for  $X$ , then a minimal model of  $X^{S^1}$  is  $(\wedge Z \otimes \wedge \bar{Z}, \bar{D})$  with

$$(\bar{Z})^p = Z^{p+1}, \quad \bar{D}(z) = dz, \quad \bar{D}(\bar{z}) = -s dz,$$

where  $s$  is the unique derivation satisfying:  $s(z) = \bar{z}$ ,  $s(\bar{z}) = 0$ .

Let  $(\wedge Z, d) \rightarrow (\wedge Z \otimes \wedge V, \delta)$  be a  $\wedge$ -minimal model in the sense of Halperin [11] of the map  $Y \rightarrow X$ . Remark first that

$$(\wedge Z \otimes \wedge V, \delta) \otimes_{(\wedge Z, d)} (\wedge Z \otimes \wedge \bar{Z}, \bar{D}) \cong (\wedge Z \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{Z}, \bar{\delta}),$$

with:  $\bar{\delta}|_{\wedge Z \otimes \wedge V} = \delta$  and  $\bar{\delta}(\bar{z}) = \bar{D}(\bar{z})$ .

By [11, 20.6],  $(\wedge Z \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{Z}, \bar{\delta})$  is a model for  $X_Y^{S^1}$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *The model  $(\wedge Z \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{Z}, \bar{\delta})$  for  $X_Y^{S^1}$  decomposes as a direct sum:*

$$(\wedge Z \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{Z}, \bar{\delta}) = \bigoplus_p (\wedge Z \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge^p \bar{Z}, \bar{\delta})$$

**Proof.** Indeed, by definition,  $\bar{\delta}|_{\bar{Z}}$  is a map from  $\bar{Z}$  to  $\wedge Z \otimes \wedge V \otimes \bar{Z}$ , so that  $\bar{\delta}$  preserves  $\wedge Z \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge^p \bar{Z}$ .  $\square$

### 3.2. A comparison result

If, following [1], we define *infinitesimal Adams operations*  $\phi^n$  on  $(\wedge Z \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{Z}, \bar{\delta})$  by requiring that the  $\phi^n$ s be algebra endomorphisms, and that

(i)  $\phi^n(\alpha) = \alpha$ ,  $\forall \alpha \in \wedge Z \otimes \wedge V$ ,

(ii)  $\phi^n(\bar{z}) = n \cdot \bar{z}$   $\forall \bar{z} \in \bar{Z}$ ,

then  $\wedge Z \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge^k \bar{Z}$  identifies with the eigenspace associated to the eigenvalue  $n^k$  of  $\phi^n$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** *The rational cohomology of  $X_Y^{S^1}$  decomposes under the action of infinitesimal Adams operations:*

$$H^n(X_Y^{S^1}, \mathcal{Q}) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n H^{n,i}(X_Y^{S^1}, \mathcal{Q}),$$

where  $H^{*,i}(X_Y^{S^1}, \mathcal{Q}) := H^*(\wedge Z \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge^i \bar{Z}, \bar{\delta})$ .

By [1, Theorem 3.2], if  $Y = X$ , that is,  $V = 0$ , the infinitesimal Adams operations  $\phi^n$  on the minimal model  $(\wedge Z \otimes \wedge \bar{Z}, \bar{D})$  of  $X^{S^1}$  are nothing but rational homotopy models of the iteration of loops maps on  $X^{S^1}$  (that is of maps  $\bar{\Phi}^n$  of section 2.2), so that in general infinitesimal Adams operations  $\phi^n$  on  $(\wedge Z \otimes \wedge V \otimes \wedge \bar{Z}, \bar{\delta})$  are rational homotopy models of the maps  $\bar{\Phi}^n$  on  $X_Y^{S^1}$  (see Section 2.2).

**Proposition 3.3.** *Up to a change of coefficient field, decompositions of Corollaries 2.9 and 3.2 identify.*

The proposition is clear from the preceding results and remarks since both decompositions are weight-decompositions of cohomology groups under the action of cohomology maps induced by the endomorphisms  $\Phi^n$  of  $X_Y^{S^1}$ .

### Acknowledgements

I am indebted to M. Vigué for her suggestions in connection with pullbacks of free loop space fibrations in rational homotopy. She communicated to me the materials of Section 3.1 which generalize her previous results on Hochschild homology of CDGAs.

I also wish to take this opportunity to thank again P. Cartier, whose indications on the connections of Chen's calculi with those of [13] originated this work.

### References

- [1] D. Burghelea, Z. Fiedorowicz and W. Gajda, Adams operations in Hochschild and cyclic homology of de Rham algebras and free loop spaces, *K-Theory* 4 (1991) 269–287.
- [2] D. Burghelea, Z. Fiedorowicz and W. Gajda, Power maps and epicyclic spaces, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 96 (1994) 1–14.
- [3] D. Burghelea and M. Vigué-Poirrier, Cyclic homology of commutative algebras I, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 1318 (Springer, New York, 1988) 51–72.
- [4] P. Cartier, Jacobiennes généralisées, monodromie unipotente et intégrales itérées, *Séminaire Bourbaki* 1987–88, *Astérisque* 161–162 (1988) 31–52.
- [5] K.T. Chen, Iterated integrals of differential forms and loop space homology, *Ann. Math.* 97 (1973) 217–246.
- [6] K.T. Chen, Reduced bar constructions on de Rham complexes, in: A. Heller and M. Tierney, Eds., *Algebra, Topology and Category Theory* (Academic Press, New York, 1976).
- [7] K.T. Chen, Iterated path integrals, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 83 (1977) 831–879.
- [8] B.L. Feigin and B.L. Tsygan, Additive  $K$ -theory, arithmetic and geometry, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 1289 (Springer, New York, 1987) 67–209.
- [9] Y. Félix, S. Halperin and J.C. Thomas, *Book on Rational Homotopy*, forthcoming.
- [10] M. Gerstenhaber and S. D. Schack, A Hodge-type decomposition for commutative algebra cohomology, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 48 (1987) 229–247.
- [11] S. Halperin, Lectures on minimal models. *Mém. Soc. Math. France* 9/10 (1983).
- [12] J.L. Loday, Opérations sur l'homologie cyclique des algèbres commutatives, *Invent. Math.* 96 (1989) 205–230.

- [13] F. Patras, Construction géométrique des idempotents eulériens, Filtration des groupes de polytopes et des groupes d'homologie de Hochschild, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 119 (1991) 101–126.
- [14] M. Vigué, Décompositions de l'homologie cyclique des algèbres différentielles graduées commutatives, *K-Theory* 4 (1991) 399–410.
- [15] M. Vigué-Poirrier and D. Burgehelea, A model for cyclic homology and algebraic *K*-theory of spaces, *J. Differential Geom.* 22 (1985) 243–253.
- [16] M. Vigué-Poirrier and D. Sullivan, The homology theory of the closed geodesics problem, *J. Differential Geom.* 11 (1976) 633–644.